BALTIC FORESTRY

http://www.balticforestry.mi.lt ISSN 1392-1355 eISSN 2029-9230 Baltic Forestry 2023 29(1): 91–98 Category: Review paper https://doi.org/10.46490/BF603

Potential of entomopathogens in biological control of the box-tree moth, Cydalima perspectalis (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)

MUSTAFA YAMAN

Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Science, Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, 14030 Bolu, Turkey *E-mail: muyaman@hotmail.com*

Yaman, M. 2023. Potential of entomopathogens in biological control of the box-tree moth, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Baltic Forestry* 29(1): 91–98 (*Review paper*); https://doi.org/10.46490/BF603.

Received 8 August 2021 Revised 10 March 2023 Accepted 15 March 2023

Abstract

The box-tree moth pyralid, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) is the most important insect pest of box trees. Although *C. perspectalis* is an invasive species, studies on the isolation, characterization and insecticidal activity of entomopathogens that cause disease in the natural populations of box tree moth are scarce. There are few studies on the entomopathogenic organisms that cause diseases in the natural populations of *C. perspectalis*. *C. perspectalis* populations can be controlled with entomopathogens such as *Bacillus thuringiensis*, baculoviruses, fungi and nematodes. *Bacillus thuringiensis* and baculoviruses are the most promisining pathogens against *C. perspectalis*. Investigations to find the most effective entomopathogen against *C. perspectalis* should be continued. This also supports the need to find its specific entomopathogens causing diseases in its natural populations. The present paper compares data on potential entomopathogens found in the literature by discussing the current situation of the damage, distribution of *C. perspectalis* and the effects of the entomopathogens isolated from or tested against this pest insect.

Keywords: biological control, entomopathogens, box tree, Cydalima perspectalis

Introduction

The box tree, Buxus sempervirens L., is an evergreen shrub or small tree native to Europe, northwest Africa and South Asia. Box tree is one of the most valuable woods in Europe as its wood is very hard, dense, heavy, fine-grained and resistant to splitting and chipping (Piqué et al. 2021). It is also widely spread as an ornamental plant in Europe and artificially grown for different purposes in industry. Box tree plants have been threatened with extinction in recent years. Among many pests of box trees, the box-tree moth, Cydalima perspectalis (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera, Crambidae), is the most important pest with the most harmful effects on the trees. This species has two forms, white and melanic. In white ones, the outer edges of their forewings are covered with broad brown bands and white spots. In melanic ones, the forewings are wholly brown, with white spots on them. The insect has four biological stages, egg, caterpillar (larva), pupa and moth (Toper-Kaygin and Taşdeler 2019). Females lay eggs in groups on the underside of the leaves. After the larvae hatch from the eggs, they spread to the plant and feed in groups. The natural distribution area of C. perspectalis is the humid subtropical regions in the east of Asia; India, China, Japan and Korea (Mally and Nuss 2010). In the last two decades, this pest has been recorded in Europe. *C. perspectalis* was first detected in southwestern Germany in 2007 (Krüger 2008). The great destruction of *C. perspectalis* in Germany in 2007 showed that this pest had come to this region before. The main entry route to this country is through the infested *Buxus* species brought from China to Europe for ornamental plantations (Krüger 2008, Muus et al. 2009).

C. perspectalis spread rapidly in Europe (Kenis et al. 2013, Nacambo et al. 2013, Götting and Herz 2016): after Germany (Krüger 2008), it was recorded in Switzerland (Billen 2007) and the Netherlands (Muus et al. 2009), and then in France (Feldtrauer et al. 2009), Austria (Rennwald and Rodeland 2009), Liechtenstein (Slamka 2010), Italy (Biondi 2010), Belgium (Casteels et al. 2011), Denmark (Hobern 2013) and Spain (Pérez-Otero et al. 2014). On the other hand, it expanded its spread to the east of Europe: Romania (Iamandei 2010, Székely et al. 2011), Hungary (Sáfián and Horváth 2011), the Czech Republic (Šumpich 2011), Slovenia (Seljak 2012), Slovakia (Pastorális et al. 2013), Croatia (Matošević 2013), Greece (Strachinis et al. 2015), Bulgaria (Arnaudov and Raikov 2017), Montenegro (Hrnčić and Radonjić 2014), Bosnia and Herzegovina

(Ostojić et al. 2015), Serbia (Stojanović et al. 2015), Kosovo (Geci and Ibrahimi 2018), and finally Turkey (Hizal et al. 2012) and Georgia 2015 (Matsiakh et al. 2016).

For the first time C. perspectalis was recorded from İstanbul, Turkey, in 2011 (Hizal et al. 2012), and then from Düzce (Öztürk et al. 2016), Artvin (Gökturk 2017, Akıncı and Kurdoğlu 2018, 2019) and Bartın (Toper-Kaygın and Taşdeler 2019). Its spread continued rapidly in the Western Black Sea Region, and damages were recorded in Zonguldak, Karabük and Kastamonu (Toper-Kaygın and Taşdeler 2019). Recently, the pest has been reported in Hatay, the Mediterranean region of Turkey, whose distribution in this region has not been determined before (Ak et al. 2021). This recent record of the pest shows that it continues its distribution from North to South of Turkey. The data obtained in a recent study conducted in Artvin region related to the damage level of C. perspectalis in box tree forests confirms that C. perspectalis is the most dangerous defoliator of box tree stands in Turkey. Akıncı and Kurdoğlu (2019) found that most of the naturally growing box trees (63.4%) in Artvin region were damaged. The study observed that 25% of the naturally growing box trees that lost their leaves could not tolerate the loss. If the rapid spread of C. perspectalis continues and its population is not suppressed, the box tree habitats in Turkey will probably disappear completely (Ak et al. 2021). Turkey has extremely favourable conditions for the spread of C. perspectalis, especially since the average temperatures in the western and southern regions are above the current average and also the box trees, which are the hosts of the pest, are widely used in parks and gardens (Toper-Kaygin and Taşdeler 2019). It is predicted that C. perspectalis will rapidly increase its spread and damage in Turkish box tree forests and landscape areas (Toper-Kaygin and Taşdeler 2019). The recommended control methods against C. perspectalis in Europe are insecticides, biopesticides such as Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), parasitoids and the removal of larvae manually or by spraying water on infested trees. However, although B. thuringiensis application is effective as a temporary solution to protect high-value natural stands of box trees, it cannot be applied in larger forests (Nacambo et al. 2013, Wan et al. 2014).

Currently, natural enemies of *C. perspectalis* are birds and polyphagous parasitoids, which show low predation and parasitism, possibly due to the high levels of toxic alkaloids retained by its larvae. Within the scope of chemical control, insecticides such as pyrethroids and neonicotinoids can control box tree moths (Korycinska and Eyre 2011). Fora et al. (2016) tested different chemical insecticides against *C. perspectalis* in Romania, including deltamethrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, thiamethoxam, thiacloprid, and imidacloprid + deltamethrin, but it was noteworthy that thiacloprid and lambda-cyhalothrin were found to be effective. Stan and Mitrea (2019) have tested thiacloprid, cypermethrin + chlorpyrifos, lambda-cyhalothrin and tau-fluvalinate against *C. perspectalis* and achieved 98.70% mortality with these chemicals. After the application, it was observed that the damage of *C. perspectalis* decreased by 64% compared to the control group.

The damage of *C. perspectalis* on cultivated box trees can be prevented by chemical insecticides (Fora et al. 2016). However, their use is often undesirable and even limited in many places. Contrastly, chemical insecticides against *C. perspectalis* seem to continue in the near future. Applying chemical insecticides can cause serious adverse effects on organisms in the natural ecosystem. They seriously affect the environment, non-target arthropods, humans and other beneficial organisms (Desneux et al. 2007).

On the other hand, the targeted harmful insects can gain resistance to chemicals over time. Due to the wide application of broad-spectrum insecticides, C. perspectalis has already developed some resistance in China (Zhang et al. 2007). Therefore, new environmentally friendly pest control methods are of great need to protect natural Buxus forests. In recent years, alternative methods have been investigated against the use of chemicals in many countries (Li et al. 2004, Kawazu et al. 2007, Zimmermann and Wühler 2010, Bourdon et al. 2021, Oso et al. 2021). The development of novel biopesticides targeting this pest might help to protect box tree forests. The most remarkable and influential of these alternative methods is the use of entomopathogens. Entomopathogens are known as microorganisms infecting and killing insects.

Entomopathogens contribute to the natural regulation of insect populations (Sağlam et al. 2021). They naturally infect many insect species that are vectors of human diseases or pests of crops and forest trees. The insecticidal effect of entomopathogens to be used against pests varies between species and even between isolates of the same entomopathogenic species in different geographic regions. For this reason, scientists spend a great effort to find entomopathogens belonging to their biological richness within their geographic regions. Entomopathogens can spread spontaneously within the population of the pest by infecting them from individual to individual, or they can reach new generations of the pest (Yaman 2021). An entomopathogen in an insect that has died due to the disease within the current generation can reach individuals in the next generation differently and cause a disease and continue its existence in the population for years.

This study aims to review and discuss the possibility of using entomopathogenic organisms for the biological control of *C. perspectalis*. For this aim, several publications concerning entomopathogenic studies on *C. perspectalis* are discussed in detail, and entomopathogens from different groups, such as viruses, bacteria, fungi and nematodes, tested against or isolated from *C. perspectalis* are listed.

Materials and methods

A detailed literature review concerning *C. perspectalis* has been carried out. The literature review was conducted using Web of Science, Scopus and Google Academic, and the literature sorces were grouped under three headings: damage and distribution of *C. perspectalis*, control methods, and entomopathogenic studies for its biological control. Entomopathogenic studies are discussed under four titles based on pathogen type. As a result of the literature review, an evaluation was made about the place of entomopathogens among the means of the biological control of *C. perspectalis*.

Viral entomopathogens

According to the literature, there is no specific virus record of C. perspectalis isolated so far from its natural populations. However, the fact that they infect mainly lepidopteran pests increases the possibility of having a baculovirus from C. perspectalis populations. C. perspectalis is invasive, especially in the last 15 years and has not attracted much attention in the previous years. In the last decade, only three entomopathogenic viruses originating from other insect hosts have been tested on this pest, and promising results were obtained (Table 1). In the first study, Rose et al. (2013) investigated the efficacy of Anagrapha falcifera nucleopolyhedrovirus (AnfaNPV), which was found to infect the larvae of pickleworm, Diaphania nitidalis (Lepidoptera: Rambidae), on C. perspectalis in order to find an effective control agent for biological control. Two AnfaN-PV isolates termed Dn10 and BI-235 were tested against neonate C. perspectalis larvae in a seven-day bioassay experiment using the box tree leaf disks. At the end of the experiment, they determined median lethal concentrations (LC₅₀) as 7.8×10^5 and 2.3×10^6 OBs/ml for the isolates Dn10 and BI-235, respectively. As a result of this study, the isolate BI-235 of AnfaNPV was found as significantly virulent to neonate C. perspectalis larvae with high infection rates in a fat body, epidermis and tracheal matrix of the host.

In a second bioassay experimental study, Oberemok et al. (2017) found a significant effect of *Lymantria dispar* nucleopolyhedrovirus (LdMNPV) on the viability of *C. perspectalis* as a potential control agent for the pest. They also showed that the mortality of LdMNPV-infected box tree moth could be increased topically using antisense DNA fragments from the RING domain of the LdMNPV inhibitor of the apoptosis gene.

Gninenko et al. (2018) tested two foreign commercial viruses, *Neodiprion sertifer* nucleopolyhedrovirus (neovir) and *Lymantria dispar* nucleopolyhedrovirus (pinkvir), on *C. prespectalis* larvae under laboratory conditions. After 15 days of bioassay experiments, *Neodiprion sertifer* nuclear polyhedrosis virus (neovir) showed 94% larval moltality and *Lymantria dispar* nuclear polyhedrosis virus (pinkvir) 90% larval moltality. They offered that both foreign viruses can ensure high mortality rates on *C. prespectalis* caterpillars, which enables their applications in box tree protection.

Bacterial entomopathogens

The literature data shows a list containing the entomopathogenic bacteria of C. perspectalis populations in Table 1. Studies have been conducted mainly in the form of trials of commercial preparations or bacterial pathogens from different insect hosts. Li et al. (2004) tried the commercial preparation of B. thuringiensis var. kurstaki (Berliner 1915) against C. perspectalis in China, but they could not get a remarkable result. Burjanadze et al. (2019) tested the 1% concentrations of two commercial B. thuringiensis var. kurstaki preparations, Lepidocid CKM and DiPel® against L₂-L₅ instars larvae of C. perspectalis in the laboratory bioassay and field trial. After 12 days-experiment, they found 97.5% mortality with DiPel® and 84.5% mortality with Lepidocid CK-M in the laboratory bioassay and 60.6% mortality with Lepidocid CK-M, 88.6% mortality with DiPel® in a field trial. The results of this study indicate

 Table 1. Entomopathogenic organisms found in or tested for C. perspectalis

	Entomopathogens	References
Viruses	Anagrapha falcifera nucleopolyhedrovirus (AnfaNPV) Neodiprion sertifer nucleopolyhedrosis (NsNPV) Lymantria nucleopolyhedrosis (pinkvir) Lymantria dispar nucleopolyhedrosis virus (LdMNPV)	Rose et al. 2013, Oberemok et al. 2017, Gninenko et al. 2018
Bacteria	Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki Bacillus thuringiensis Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kenyae Bacillus cereus, Brevibacillus brevis Vibrio hollisae Bacillus spp.	Li et al. 2004, Götting and Herz 2018, Harizanova et al. 2018, Burjanadze et al. 2019, Makaren-ko et al. 2019, Hulujan et al. 2021, Tozlu et al. 2022, Usta 2022
Fungi	Beauveria bassiana Isaria fumosorosea Metarhizium spp. Beauveria spp.	Lee et al. 1997, Zamani et al. 2017, Harizanova et al. 2018, Burjanadze et al. 2019, Álvarez and Fernanda 2020, Zemek et al. 2020, Tozlu et al. 2022
Nematodes	Steinernema carpocapsae Heterorhabditis bacteriophora	Choo et al. 1991, Götting and Herz 2018

that infestation can be significantly reduced through two successive applications with these commercial *B. thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* preparations. Makarenko et al. (2019) conducted a bioassay experiment against *C. perspectalis* using two bacterial pesticides, Lepidocide and Bitoxibacillin, combined with an insecticide, aktofit. They found that treating plants with biological preparations Lepidocide and Bitoxibacillin (100 ml/10 L of water) in combination with Aktofit is effective in protecting against *C. perspectalis*. These biological pesticides are recommended to protect against box tree moths in botanical gardens and arboreta.

Harizanova et al. (2018) investigated bacterial species composition and diversity in the gut of C. perspectalis caterpillars. They isolated five bacterial isolates and identified them at the species level as Acinetobacter schindleri, Enterococcus casseliflavus, Klebsiella mobilis, Paenibacillus anaericanus and Paenibacillus popilliae. As a result of the study, P. popilliae was the most prevalent pathogen (52.4%) as an agent affecting caterpillar survival in natural populations of pests. However, the insecticidal potential of these bacteria was not investigated on the pest. In another study using entomopathogens (Salioğlu 2020), Bacillus subtilis and three types of bacteria obtained from different hosts, encoded as FD-1, FDP-8 and FD-63, were tested against C. perspectalis and mortality rates ranging from 60 to 85% were obtained. Recently, two bacterial studies on the biological control of C. perspectalis were carried out. In one of them, the insecticidal effects of Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kenyae, Bacillus cereus, Brevibacillus brevis and Vibrio hollisae were determined on C. perspectalis. 100% mortality was achieved with B. cereus, B. brevis and V. hollisae on C. perspectalis larvae (Tozlu et al. 2022).

Bacterial entomopathogens in *C. perspectalis* populations were also monitored in some studies. *Bacillus* species were the most common pathogens. Harizanova et al. (2018) monitored bacterial entomopathogen flora in *C. perspectalis* populations and found an average contamination of 10.2% with *Bacillus anaericanus* and 52.4% with *Bacillus popilliae*. In a similar study, Hulujan et al. (2021) found that most larvae have been infected with *Bacillus* species. Moreover, *Bacillus thuringiensis* was isolated from *C. perspectalis* larvae in natural populations, and 85% mortality was obtained with this isolate in bioassay trials (Usta 2022).

Fungal entomopathogens

Fungal entomopathogens are important biological control agents and have been the subject of intensive research for over 100 years (Vega et al. 2012). There are commercially available fungal biopesticides in a safe alternative control against chemical use. Most insects are highly susceptible to fungi infections (Lovett and Leger 2017, Litwin et al. 2020, Baki et al. 2021). According to the literature, a list including the entomopathogenic fungi of *C. perspectalis* as agents for natural control of this pest is given in the Table.

Among the entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.-Criv.) Vuill. infects several species of insects and is used to control crop infestations by insects. Some studies tested this pathogen against *Cydalima perspectalis* (Álvarez and Fernanda 2020). Lee et al. (1997) found that *Cydalima (Diaphania) perspectalis* was not affected at 2.0×10^7 – 2.0×10^4 conidia/ml of *Beauveria bassiana* on *C. perspectalis* larvae at the concentrations of 1×10^6 , 1×10^7 and 1×10^8 conidia/ml and found 100% mortality with 1×10^8 conidia/ml concentration under laboratory conditions.

In contrast to commercial preparations of viral and bacterial entomopathogens tested against *C. perspectalis,* two fungal entomopathogens were determined to cause natural infection in their natural populations. Zamani et al. (2017) observed *C. perspectalis* larvae infected with fungal mycelium in the natural populations. They isolated and identified that fungal pathogen as *Beauveria bassiana* species. *B. bassiana* is a common fungal entomopathogen isolated from several insect groups, especially Lepidoptera larvae in many countries. *B. bassiana* isolated from *C. perspectalis* in that study is the first report of the natural occurrence of a fungal pathogen on the box tree moth. They suggest that biocontrol of *C. perspectalis* by fungal pathogens can be used as an appropriate alternative or at least a supplement to chemical pesticides.

Harizanova et al. (2018) investigated microorganism species composition and diversity in the gut of C. perspectalis caterpillars. They isolated five fungal species and identified them at the genus level as Metarhizium sp., Beauveria sp., Verticillium sp., Alternaria sp. and Mucor sp., and found Metarhizium sp. and Beauveria sp. in high and similar prevalences of 29.6% and 28.6%, respectively. However, the insecticidal potential of these fungi was not tested on the pest. Similarly, Burjanadze et al. (2019) observed C. perspectalis adults infected with an entomopathogenic fungus identified as B. bassiana in the nature boxwood forest. This fungal pathogen was tested against L_2 - L_5 instar larvae of *C. perspectalis* with the 1 × 10⁸ concentration in laboratory and field experiments. They found 80% mortality in the laboratory bioassay and 60% in a field trial. According to the results, C. perspectalis infestation can be reduced through tree applications with *B. bassiana*. Zemek et al. (2020) tested the entomopathogenic fungus, Isaria fumosorosea, against C. perspectalis in laboratory experiments. For this, the last-instar larvae of the box tree moth were treated by the suspension of fungus conidia at concentrations ranging from 1×10^4 to 1×10^8 spores per 1 mL. They observed fungus infection mostly in pupae; however, the maximum mortality of 60% confirmed that C. perspectalis shows a very low susceptibility to I. fumosorosea. The most interesting results of the study were that several ungerminated fungal conidia were found on larval cuticles and that the hydroalcoholic extract of B. sempervirens leaves significantly inhibits the germination of I. fu*mosorosea* conidia and fungus growth. They suggested that the low virulence of the fungus might be due to the accumulation of host plant phytochemicals having antimicrobial activity in the larval cuticle of the pest.

Nematode entomopathogens

The literature data shows a list of the entomopathogenic nematodes for the natural control of *C. perspectalis* shown in Table 1.

Choo et al. (1991) tested Steinernema carpocapsae (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) and Heterorhabditis bacteriophora identified from Korean forest soils (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) against C. perspectalis. In the study, C. perspectalis larvae were exposed to S. carpocapsae and H. bacteriophora, and a high degree of mortality was observed in the larvae. Götting and Herz (2018) investigated the potential of Nemastar®, the commercial preparation of entomopathogenic nematode Steinernema carpocapsae on C. perspectalis larvae in both laboratory bioassays and field trials. S. carpocapsae was applicated in different suspensions (10-200 EPN/100 µl, per larva) against the 2nd and 4th instar larvae, and high susceptibility was observed as 10–75% mortality for the 2nd instar larvae and 45–100% mortality for the 4th instar larvae. Contrastly, the desired result could not be obtained with the entomopathogenic nematode, S. carpocapsae, in field trials.

Conclusions

In biological control, pathogens such as bacteria, viruses, fungi and nematodes are very important. These pathogens generally do not harm beneficial insects and other non-target species, killing only pests (Rincón-Castro and Ibarra 2011). As a result of a detailed review of the articles on the use of entomopathogens in the biological control of *C. perspectalis*, it is seen that entomopathogenic studies that find more effective microbial control agents against *C. perspectalis* are very few compared to those of other pests. Furthermore, although *C. perspectalis* is an invasive species and causes severe damage to box tree forests, studies on the isolation, characterization and insecticidal activity of pathogens that cause disease in the natural populations of box tree moth are scarce. However, the following critical statements have been reached;

• The efficacy of only three baculoviruses has been investigated in the literature on *C. perspectalis* caterpillars. Baculoviruses have very narrow host ranges so that one virus infects one or a few host insect species, with one exception, *Autographa californica* multiple nucleopolyhedroviruses (AcMNPV) infecting many lepidopteran species. In contrast, no species-specific natural virus was recorded, and three foreign baculovirus preparations from different host species tested against *C. perspectalis* appear to affect its caterpillars significantly. This exciting situation makes us think that *C. perspectalis* populations can be controlled with

viral entomopathogens such as baculoviruses. However, studies to find the most effective viral insecticide against the pest should continue investigating the effectiveness of different viral pathogens. This also supports the need to find the specific viral pathogens causing diseases in its natural populations.

- Studies on the use of entomopathogenic bacteria in the biological control of C. perspectalis have focused on determining the efficacy of commercial Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki preparations on pest caterpillars. The effectiveness of Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki preparations on the pest has been proven, and their use in field applications has been generally accepted. Use of Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki preparations against the pest are recommended. However, there are cases when Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki are insufficient. On the other hand, there is no study on the isolation, characterization and insecticidal activity of other bacterial entomopathogens (spore-forming or non-spore-forming bacteria) that cause disease in the natural populations of box tree moth. Only in one study gut flora of C. perspectalis was determined.
- By reference to the results of one study, it is expected that C. perspectalis can have a large range of fungal pathogens, including Metarhizium sp., Beauveria sp., Verticillium sp., Alternaria sp., Mucor sp. and Isaria sp. C. perspectalis infestation can be reduced through tree applications with *B. bassiana*. This pathogen can be a naturally suppressing factor in C. perspectalis populations even though considerably high mortalities have not been determined in experimental studies. Contrastly, Isaria fumosorosea is not very effective against C. perspectalis. Environmental factors such as ultraviolet light, temperature, and humidity can influence the effectiveness of fungal entomopathogens in the field. Furthermore, a very different view has been cited as the reason why fungal entomopathogens are less effective on C. perspectalis; low virulence of fungal entomopathogens might be due to the accumulation of host plant phytochemicals. This idea may be correct, as host plant phytochemicals can act as antimicrobials in the larval cuticle of the pest. Developing fungal entomopathogens as effective biological control agents requires knowledge of bioassay methods and production, formulation, and application methodologies. However, it is clear that the fungal pathogens of the pest still need to be studied in detail.
- The limited number of entomopathogenic nematode studies confirm that nematodes can exert a very adverse effect on *C. perspectalis. Steinernema carpocap*sae and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* stand out as the most promising nematodes.
- Entomopathogens are mainly isolated from natural environments or diseased insects in natural pest populations. Genomic characteristics and different climatic and geographical factors can determine their insec-

ticidal potential on pest insects. This situation stimulates scientists to find entomopathogens in different geographical areas. On the other hand, there are a few studies on the entomopathogenic organisms that cause diseases in the natural populations of *C. perspectalis*. As a main result, studies to find the most effective entomopathogen against *C. perspectalis* should be continued. This also supports the need to find the specific entomopathogens causing diseases in its natural populations.

References

- Ak, K. Sarı, Ö., Altaş, K. and Yaşar, H. 2021. Hatay ili şimşir alanlarında yeni bir zararlı, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) [A new pest in the boxwood fields of Hatay province, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)]. Artvin Çoruh Üniversitesi Orman Fakültesi Dergisi 22: 109–116 (in Turkish, with English abstract).
- Akıncı, A.H. and Kurdoğlu, O. 2018. Observations on the damage of *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) and its threat for native box populations in Artvin, Turkey. In: İnsan-Orman-Bilim Uluslararası Sempozyumu / International Symposium "People – Forest – Science" (PEFOSS 2018), 10–12 October 2018, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina).
- Akıncı, A.H. and Kurdoğlu, O. 2019. Damage level of *Cydalima perspectalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) on naturally growing and ornamental box populations in Artvin, Turkey. *Kastamonu Üniversitesi Orman Fakültesi Dergisi* 19(2): 144–151.
- Arnaudov, V. and Raikov, S. 2017. Box tree moth Cydalima perspectalis (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), a new invasive pest for the Bulgarian fauna. In: 22. Savetovanje o biotehnologiji sa međunarodnim učešćem, Čačak, 10–11 March 2017(1): 453–460.
- Baki, D. Tosun, H.Ş. and Erler, F. 2021. Indigenous entomopathogenic fungi as potential biological control agents of rose sawfly, *Arge rosae* L. (Hymenoptera: Argidae). *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 45: 517–525.
- Barreiro Álvarez, M.F. 2020. Biological control of the boxwood moth (Cydalima perspectalis) based on entomopathogenic fungi as endophytes. Treball final de grau. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Facultat de Biociències, 1 pp. Available at: https://ddd.uab.cat/pub/tfg/2020/233373/ TFG MariaFernandaBarreiro.pdf.
- Billen, W. 2007. Diaphania perspectalis (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) – a new moth in Europe. Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen entomologischen Gesellschaft Basel 57: 135–137.
- Biondi, S. 2010. Forum Entomologi Italiani. Available at: https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/259438923_The_box_ tree_moth_Cydalima_perspectalis_Walker_1859_continues_to_spread_in_southern_Europe_New_records_for_Italy_Lepidoptera_Pyraloidea_Crambidae.
- Bourdon, P.A., Baxter, I., Grabenweger, G. and Butt, T.M. 2021. Susceptibility of *Agriotes larvae* (Coleoptera: Elateridae) to "stress and kill" strategies using spinosad and the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium brunneum*. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 45: 395–407.
- Burjanadze, M., Supatashvili, A. and Gorkturk, T. 2019. Control strategies against invasive pest Box Tree Moth – *Cydalima perspectalis* in Georgia. In: *SETSCI Conference Indexing System* 4: 1–4. Available at: http://www.set-sci-

ence.com/manage/uploads/ISAS2019-ENS_0042/SETS-CI_ISAS2019-ENS_0042_001.pdf.

- Casteels, H., Witters, J., Vandierendonck, S. and van Remoortere, L. 2011. First report of *Cydalima perspectalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in Belgium [poster presentation]. *The 63rd International Symposium on Crop Protection*, 24 May 2011, Gent, Belgium.
- Choo, H.Y., Kaya, H.K., Lee, S.M., Kim, T.O. and Kim, J.B. 1991. Laboratory evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* against some forest insect pests. *Korean Journal* of *Applied Entomology* 30: 227–232.
- **Desneux, N., Decourtye, A. and Delpuech, J.M.** 2007. The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. *Annual Review of Entomology* 52: 81–106.
- Feldtrauer, J.F., Feldtrauer, J.J. and Brua, C. 2009. Premiers signalements en France de la Pyrale du Buis *Diaphania perspectalis* (Walker, 1859), espece exotique envahissante s'attaquant aux Buis (Lepidoptera, Crambidae). *Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de Mulhouse* 65(4): 55–58.
- Fora, C.G., Sasu, L., Poşta, D. and Berar, C. 2016. Chemical possibilities of *Cydalima perspectalis* Walk. (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) control. *Journal of Horticulture Forestry and Biotechnology* 20(3): 31–34.
- Geci, D. and Ibrahimi, H. 2018. First record of the box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera, Crambidae) from Kosovo. *Natura Croatica* 27(2): 343–345.
- Gninenko, Yu.I., Ponomarev, V.L. and Nesterenkova, A.E. 2018. Opyt ispolzovaniia virusov dlia zashchity samshita ot gusenits samshitovoi ogniovki [Virus application experience to protect boxwood against caterpillars of box tree moth]. In: Asaturova, A.M. (Ed.) Biologicheskaia zashchita rastenii – osnova stabilizatsii agroekosistem. Stanovlieniie i perspektivy razvitiia organicheskogo zemledeliia v Possiiskoi Federatsii. Materialy Mezhdunarodnoi naucho-prakticheskoi konferentsii, 11–13 September 2018, Krasnodar, Russian Federation. Krasnodar (Russia): IP Dedkova, S.A. 'Granat' Printing Centre, Vypusk 10, p. 383–385 (in Russian with English abstract).
- **Götting, S. and Herz, A.** 2016. Are egg parasitoids of the genus Trichogramma (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) promising biological control agents for regulating the invasive Box tree pyralid, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)? *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 26(11): 1471–1488.
- **Götting, S. and Herz, A.** 2018. Susceptibility of the Box tree pyralid *Cydalima perspectalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) to potential biological control agents Neem (NeemAzal-T/S) and entomopathogenic nematodes (Nemastar) assessed in laboratory bioassays and field trials. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection* 125: 365–375.
- Harizanova, V., Naydenov, M., Stoeva, A., Valcheva, I., Draganova, D., Borisov, Y. and Mohamedova, M. 2018. Survey of the gut pathogenic microflora associated with caterpillars of the box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* Walker, 1859 (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Acta Entomologica Serbica 23(2): 15–25.
- Hizal, E., Köse, M., Yeşil, C. and Kaynar, D. 2012. The new pest *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in Turkey. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 11(3): 400–403.
- Hulujan, I.B., Florian, T., Florian, V.C. and Oltean, I. 2021. Zoophagous entomofauna and entomopathogenic agents reported on *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) in north-western of Romania. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* 49(1):11786. https://doi. org/10.15835/nbha49111786.

BALTIC FORESTRY 29(1) POTENTIAL OF ENTOMOPATHOGENS IN BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF THE BOX-TREE /.../ YAMAN, M.

- Hobern, D. 2013. *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859). Available at: http://www.flickr.com/photos/dhobern/9418970083 (accessed on 4 November 2017).
- Hrnčić, S. and Radonjić, S. 2014. Cydalima perspectalis Walker (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) – nova invazivna štetočina šimšira u Crnoj Gori [Cydalima perspectalis Walker (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) is a new invasive pest of boxwood in Montenegro]. In: 11 Simpozij o zaštiti bilja u Bosni i Hercegovini, Teslić, 4–6 November 2014, Godine. Zbornik rezimea, p. 24–25 (in Montenegrin).
- Iamandei, M. 2010. Diaphania perspectalis (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae): a new pest of Buxus spp. in Romania. Scientific Papers. Series B. Horticulture 54: 787–793.
- Kawazu, K., Honda, H. Nakamura, S. and Adati, T. 2007. Identification of sex pheromone components of the box tree pyralid, *Glyphodes perspectalis*. *Journal of Chemical Ecol*ogy 33: 1978–1985.
- Kenis, M., Nacambo, S., Leuthardt, F.L.G., Di Domenico, F. and Haye, T. 2013. The box tree moth, *Cydalima perspectalis*, in Europe: horticultural pest or environmental disaster? *Aliens* 33: 38–41.
- Korycinska, A. and Eyre, D. 2011. Box tree caterpillar *Cydalima perspectalis*. Plant Pest Factsheet. The Food and Environment Research Agency (Fera). York (UK): Fera Science Ltd., 4 pp. Available at: https://planthealthportal.defra.gov. uk/assets/factsheets/boxTreeCaterpillar2011.pdf (accessed October 2011).
- Krüger, E.O. 2008. Glyphodes perspectalis (Walker, 1859) Neu für die Fauna Europas (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Entomologische Zeitschrift 118: 81–83.
- Lee, S.M., Lee, D.W., Choo, H.Y. and Park, J.W. 1997. Pathogenicities of *Beauveria bassiana* GY1-17 against some agro-forest insect pests. *Korean Journal of Applied Entomology* 36: 351–356.
- Li, S.G., Lin, H.F., Yin, C.D., Chen, S.R., Zhang, L., Wang, P.L. and Xu, T.Z. 2004. Biology and microbial control of box tree caterpillar, *Diaphania perspectalis* (Walker). *Forest Insurance* 6: 584–587 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Litwin, A., Nowak, M. and Różalska, S. 2020. Entomopathogenic fungi: unconventional applications. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology* 19: 23–42.
- Lovett, B. and St. Leger, R.J. 2017. The insect pathogens. *Microbiology Spectrum* 5(2): 5.2.01. Available at: https://journals.asm.org/doi/epub/10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0001-2016.
- Makarenko, N.V., Hnatyuk, A.M., Pilipchuk, V.F. and Shevchenko, Ya.S. 2019. Zastosuvannia biolohichnykh preparativ proty samshitovoi vohnivky (*Cydalima per-spectalis* (Walker, 1859)) [Effectiveness of use of biological preparations against the box tree moth, *Cydalima per-spectalis* (Walker, 1859)]. *Plant Introduction (Kyiv)* (4): 97–106 (in Ukrainian, with English abstract). https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.3566638.
- Mally, R. and Nuss, M. 2010. Phylogeny and nomenclature of the box tree moth, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) comb. n., which was recently introduced into Europe (Lepidoptera: Pyraloidea: Crambidae: Spilomelinae). *European Journal of Entomology* 107: 393–400.
- Matošević, D. 2013. Box tree moth (*Cydalima perspectalis*, Lepidoptera; Crambidae), new invasive insect pest in Croatia. *South-East European Forestry (SEEFOR)* 4(2): 89–94.
- Matsiakh, I. 2016. Assessment of Pests and Diseases in Native Boxwood Forests of Georgia. Final report. Tbilisi (Georgia): European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument

(ENPI), East Countries Forest Law Enforcement and Governance (FLEG), II Program Complimentary Measures for Armenia and Georgia, 110 pp. Available at: https://www.enpifleg.org/site/assets/files/1939/assessment_of_pests_and_ diseases_in_georgian_forests_i_matsiakh_final_final.pdf.

- Muus, T.S.T., Van Haaften, E.J. and Van Deventer, L.J. 2009. De buxusmot *Palpita perspectalis* (Walker) in Nederland (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) [The box tree pyralid, *Palpita perspectalis* (Walker, 1859), Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in The Netherlands]. *Entomologische Berichten, Amsterdam* 69(2): 66–67 (in Dutch with English summary).
- Nacambo, S., Leuthardt, F.L.G, Wan, H.H., Li, H.M., Haye, T., Baur, B., Weiss, R.M. and Kenis, M. 2013. Development characteristics of the box-tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* and its potential distribution in Europe. *Journal* of *Applied Entomology* 137: 1–13.
- Oberemok, V.V., Laikova, K.V., Shumskykh, M.N. and Zaitsev, A.S. 2017. The first record of box tree moth in Crimea and a novel perspective of its biological control based on *Lymantria dispar* multicapsid nuclear polyhedrosis virus and DNA insecticides approach. *Entomologia Generalis* 36(3): 207–217.
- **Oso, A.A., Ramakuwela, T. and Ashafa, A.O.T.** 2021. Compatibility of entomopathogenic nematodes with plant extracts and post-exposure virulence test under laboratory condition. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 45: 384–394.
- Ostojić, I., Zovko, M., Pertović, D. and Elez, D. 2015. New records of box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Radovi Poljoprivrednog Fakulteta Univerziteta u Sarajevu* [Works of the Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Sarajevo] 60(65): 139–143.
- Öztürk, N., Akbulut, S. and Yüksel, B. 2016. Düzce için yeni bir zararlı *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) [A new pest for Düzce: *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)]. Üniversitesi Ormancılık Dergisi 12(1): 112–121 (in Turkish).
- Pastorális, G., Elsner, G., Kopeèek, F., Kosorín, F., Laštùvka, A., Lendel, A., Liška, J., Nìmý, J., Richter, I., Štefanoviè, R., Šumpich, J. and Tokár, Z. 2013. Fourteen Lepidoptera species new to the fauna of Slovakia. *Folia Faunistica Slovaca* 18(1): 1–2.
- Pérez-Otero, R., Mansilla, J.P. and Vidal, M. 2014. Cydalima perspectalis Walker, 1859 (Lepidoptera, Crambidae): una nueva amenaza para Buxus spp. en la Península Ibérica [Cydalima perspectalis Walker, 1859 (Lepidoptera, Crambidae): a new threat to Buxus spp. in the Iberic Peninsula]. Arquivos Entomolóxicos 10: 225–228.
- Piqué, R., Morera, N., Revelles, J., Castells, E., López-Bultó, O., Franch, A. and Burjachs, F. 2021. The distribution and use of Box (*Buxus sempervirens L.*) in the Northeastern Iberian Peninsula during the Holocene. *Envi*ronmental Archaeology 26(2): 179–191.
- Rennwald, E. and Rodeland, J. 2009. Lepiforum: Bestimmung von Schmetterlingen (Lepidoptera) und ihren Präimaginalstadien. Lepiforum e.V.. URL: http://www.lepifo-rum.de (accessed on 10 December 2009).
- Rincón-Castro, C.D. and Ibarra, J.E. 2011. Entomopathogenic viruses. In: Rosas-Garcia, N.M. (Ed.) Biological Control of Insect Pests. Houston, Tex. (USA): Studium Press LLC, p. 29–64.
- Rose, J., Kleespies, R.G., Wang, Y., Wennmann, J.T. and Jehle, J.A. 2013. On the susceptibility of the box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* to *Anagrapha falcifera* nucleopolyhedrovirus (AnfaNPV). *Journal of Invertebrate Patholo*gy 113: 191–197.

BALTIC FORESTRY 29(1) POTENTIAL OF ENTOMOPATHOGENS IN BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF THE BOX-TREE /.../ YAMAN, M.

- Sáfián, S. and Horváth, B. 2011. Box tree moth Cydalima perspectalis (Walker, 1859), new member in Lepidoptera fauna of Hungary (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Natura Somogyiensis 19: 245–246.
- Sağlam, T., Yaman, M. and Ertürk, Ö. 2021. Distribution and occurrence of Vairimorpha plodiae (Opisthokonta: Microspora) in the Indian meal moth, Plodia interpunctella (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) populations: An extensive field study. Acta Protozoologica 60: 31–36.
- Salioğlu, Ş. 2020. Artvin İli Şimşir Alanlarinda Zarar Yapan Cydalima perspectalis (Walker, 1859) (Şimşir Kelebeği)'in Morfolojisi, Biyolojisi, Zarari Ve Mücadele Olanaklarının Araştırılması. Yüksek Lisans Tezi [Investigation of Morphology, Biology, Damage and Control Opportunities of Cydalima perspectalis (Walker, 1859) (Boxwood Butterfly) Damages in Boxwood Fields in Artvin Province. MSc Thesis]. Artvin Coruh University, Graduate School of Education, 59 pp. (in Turkish). Available at: http://openaccess.artvin.edu.tr/xmlui/bitstream/handle/11494/2335/sevval.salioglu.pdf.
- Seljak, G. 2012. Six new alien phytophagous insect species recorded in Slovenia in 2011. *Acta Entomologica Slovenica* 20(1): 31–44.
- Slamka, F. 2010. Pyraloidea (Lepidoptera) of Central Europe: Identification, Distribution, Habitat and Biology. Bratislava (Slovakia): Coronet Books Inc., 176 pp.
- Stan, R. and Mitrea, I. 2019. Results regarding the chemical control of the species Cydalima perspectalis Walker. Analele Universității din Craiova, seria Agricultură – Montanologie, Cadastru / Annals of the University of Craiova – Agriculture, Montanology, Cadastre Series 49(2): 181–184. Available at: http://anale.agro-craiova.ro/index.php/aamc/ issue/view/20/showToc.
- Stojanović, D.V., Konjević, A., Marković, M. and Kereši, T. 2015. Nalazi šimširovog moljca *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera, Crambidae) u Vojvodini [Appearance of the box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera, Crambidae) in Vojvodina]. *Biljni lekar / Plant Doctor* 43(4): 387–395 (in Serbian with English summary).
- Strachinis, I., Kazilas, C., Karamaouna, F., Papanikolaou, N.E., Partsinevelos, G.K. and Milonas, P.G. 2015. First record of *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in Greece. *Hellenic Plant Protection Journal* 8(2): 66–72.
- Šumpich, J. 2011. Motýli Národních parků Podyjí a Thayatal. Die Schmetterlinge der Nationalparke Podyjí und Thayatal. Správa Národního parku Podyjí, Znojmo (Czech Rep.), 428 pp. (in Czech and German with English summary). Available at: https://www.nppodyji.cz/uploads/soubory/ publikace/Sumpich 2011 Motyli Podyji small.pdf.

- Székely, L., Dinc, V. and Mihai, C. 2011. Cydalima perspectalis (Walker, 1859), a new species for the Romanian fauna (Lepidoptera: Crambidae: Spilomelinae). Buletin de inforare entomologica 22(3-4): 73–77.
- Toper Kaygin, A. and Taşdeler, C. 2019. Cydalima perspectalis (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae, Spilomelinae) in Türkiye'de Coğrafi Yayılışı, Yaşam Döngüsü Ve Zararı [Geographical Distribution, Life Cycle and Damage of Cydalima perspectalis (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae, Spilomelinae) in Turkey]. Bartın Orman Fakültesi Dergisi 21(3): 833–847 (in Turkish).
- Tozlu, E., Tozlu, G., Kotan, R., Tekiner, N., Dadaşoğlu, F. and Göktürk, T. 2022. Eco-friendly control method against invasive pest box tree moth (*Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* 32: 96.
- Usta, M. 2022. Local isolate of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner, 1915) (Bacteria: Bacillaceae) from *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae: Spilomelinae) includes cry1, cry3 and cry4 genes and their insecticidal activities. *Turkish Journal of Entomology* 46(2): 227–237.
- Vega, F.E., Meyling, N.V., Luangsa-ard, J.J. and Blackwell, M. 2012. Fungal Entomopathogens. In: Vega, F. and Kaya, H. (Eds.) Insect Pathology. 2nd ed. San Diego: Elsevier Inc., p. 171–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384984-7.00006-3.
- Wan, H., Haye, T., Kenis, M., Nacambo, S., Xu, H., Zhang, F. and Li, H. 2014. Biology and natural enemies of *Cydalima* perspectalis in Asia: Is there biological control potential in Europe? Journal of Applied Entomology 138(10): 715–722.
- Yaman, M. 2021. A viral pathogen from pine processionary moth, *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* (Denis et Schiffermuller, 1775) (Lepidoptera: Notodontidae). *South-East European Forestry* 12: 67–70.
- Zamani, S.M., Farahani, S., Farashiani, M. E., Salehi, M. and Samavat, S. 2017. The first record of *Beauveria bassiana* on box tree moth, *Cydalima perspectalis* in Iran. *Iranian Journal of Forest and Range Protection Research* 15(2): 199–202.
- Zemek, R., Konopická, J. and Abdin, Z.U. 2020. Low Efficacy of *Isaria fumosorosea* against Box Tree Moth *Cydalima perspectalis*: Are Host Plant Phytochemicals Involved in Herbivore Defence against Fungal Pathogens? *Journal of Fungi* 6(4): 342.
- Zhang, L., Li, Z.H., Zhen, X.H. and Chen, M. 2007. Biological characteristics and control of box tree caterpillar, *Diaphania perspectalis* (Walker). *Shandong Academy of Agricultural Science* 2: 77–79 (in Chinese).
- Zimmermann, O. and Wührer, B. 2010. Initial investigations on the ability of the indigenous larval parasitoid *Bracon brevicornis* to control the box-tree pyralid *Diaphania perspectalis* in Germany. *DGaaE-Nachrichten* 24: 25–26.